

But since $a \neq \beta$ $\implies c_{12}a \neq c_{34}\beta$ in any way!

\mathcal{A} : Must prove that ciphertexts $c_{12}a$ and $c_{34}\beta$ encrypted the same number $n = n_{12} = n_{34}$

\iff balance $= (m_1 + m_2) \bmod (p-1) = (m_3 + m_4) \bmod (p-1) = 5000$.

This is named as ciphertexts equivalency problem.

Proof. $i = i_{34} = (i_3 + i_4) \bmod (p-1)$ >> $i_{34} = \text{mod}(i_3+i_4, p-1)$
 $i_{34} = 115795473$

1) \mathcal{A} proves to the Net that she knows her $\text{PrK}_A = x$ by declaring her $\text{PuK}_A = a$ using NIZKP.

2) \mathcal{A} proves to the Net that she knows her random parameter $i = i_{34} = (i_3 + i_4) \bmod (p-1)$ for $n_{34} = n_3 * n_4 \bmod p$ encryption. Random parameters i_3 and i_4 must be secret otherwise encrypted values n_3 and n_4 can be decrypted without a knowledge of her $\text{PrK} = x$.

3) \mathcal{A} referencing to these proofs provides a ciphertexts equivalency proof.

Non-Interactive Zero Knowledge Proof - NIZKP $\text{PP} = (p, g)$.

\mathcal{A} : NIZKP of knowledge x :

$\text{PrK}_A = x = \text{randi}(p-1)$

$\text{PuK}_A = a = g^x \bmod p$

1. Computes r for random number u :

$u = \text{randi}(p-1)$

$r = g^u \bmod p$

2. Generates h :

$h = \text{randi}(p-1)$

3. Computes:

$s = u + xh \bmod (p-1)$

$\text{PuK}_A = a$

(r, s)

\mathcal{B} : $\text{PuK}_A = a$

Verifies:

$g^s = ra^h \bmod p$

$\text{PrK}_A = x$ is called witness for a statement $\text{PuK}_A = a$.

Let \mathcal{A} wants to prove the knowledge of x and $i = i_{34}$.

Then the statement

$st = \{a = g^x \bmod p, D_{34}\beta = g^i \bmod p\}$

$u \leftarrow \text{randi}(\mathcal{I}_p^*)$

$v \leftarrow \text{randi}(\mathcal{I}_p^*)$

Commitments t_1 and t_2 are generated:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} t_1 &= g^u \text{ mod } p \\ t_2 &= g^v \text{ mod } p \end{aligned} \right\} h = H(a \| D_{34\beta} \| t_1 \| t_2) \xrightarrow{\text{Net}} \begin{cases} \{a, D_{34\beta}, t_1, t_2\} \\ h = H(a \| D_{34\beta} \| t_1 \| t_2) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r &= x \cdot h + u \text{ mod } (p-1) \\ s &= i \cdot h + v \text{ mod } (p-1) \end{aligned} \xrightarrow{\text{Net verifies}} \begin{aligned} g^r &= t_1 \cdot a^h \text{ mod } p \\ g^s &= t_2 \cdot (D_{34\beta})^h \text{ mod } p \end{aligned}$$

Correctness:

$$g^r = g^{(x \cdot h + u) \text{ mod } (p-1)} \text{ mod } p = g^{xh} \cdot g^u = (g^x)^h \cdot g^u = a^h \cdot t_1$$

$$g^s = g^{(i \cdot h + v) \text{ mod } (p-1)} \text{ mod } p = g^{ih} \cdot g^v = (g^i)^h \cdot g^v = (D_{34\beta})^h \cdot t_2$$

Till this place

However, the scheme presented above is insufficient to realize a proof of ciphertext equivalency. We propose the modification of the existing NIZKP to realize two ciphertext equivalency proofs, namely $C_{a,I}$ in (18), (19), and $C_{\beta,E}$ in (20), (21). Recall that $C_{a,I}$ is a ciphertext of plaintext I encryption with Alice's $\text{PuK}=\alpha$ and $C_{\beta,E}$ is a ciphertext of plaintext E encryption with the AA's $\text{PuK}=\beta$. The statement St of our proposed NIZKP consists of the following:

$$St = \{(\epsilon_{a,I}, \delta_{a,I}), (\epsilon_{\beta,E}, \delta_{\beta,E}), a, \beta\}. \quad (22)$$

The random integers $u \leftarrow \text{randi}(Z_q)$ and $v \leftarrow \text{randi}(Z_q)$ are generated by Alice, and the value $(-v) \text{ mod } q$ is computed. The proof of ciphertext equivalency is computed using three computation steps:

1. The following commitments are computed:

$$t_1 = g^u \text{ mod } p; \quad (23)$$

$$t_2 = g^v \text{ mod } p; \quad (24)$$

$$t_3 = (\delta_{a,I})^u \cdot \beta^{-v} \text{ mod } p. \quad (25)$$

2. The following h -value is computed using the cryptographically secure h -function H :

$$h = H(a \| \beta \| t_1 \| t_2 \| t_3). \quad (26)$$

3. Alice, having her $\text{PrK}_{A=x}$ randomly generates the secret number l for E encryption and computes the following two values:

$$r = x \cdot h + u \text{ mod } q; \quad (27)$$

$$s = l \cdot h + v \text{ mod } q. \quad (28)$$

Then Alice declares the following set of data to the Net:

$$\{a, \beta, t_1, t_2, t_3, r, s\} \rightarrow \text{Net}. \quad (29)$$

To verify the transaction's validity, the Net computes the h -value according to (26) and then verifies three identities:

$$g^r = a^h \cdot t_1; \quad (30)$$

$$g^s = (\delta_{\beta,E})^h \cdot t_2; \quad (31)$$

$$(\epsilon_{\beta,E})^h \cdot (\epsilon_{a,I})^{-h} \cdot (\delta_{a,I})^r \cdot \beta^{-s} = t_3. \quad (32)$$